

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,859	11/13/2003	Rebecca Bakker-Arkema	PC25163A	8625	
7590 05/02/2006			EXAMINER		
Cynthia M. Bott			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
Warner-Lamber	t Company				
2800 Plymouth	Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ann Arbor, MI		1614			
		DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/712,859		BAKKER-ARKEMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin E. We		1614				
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the so Any reply received by the C	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. Deficited above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will e , cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ja</u>	anuary 2006						
2a)☐ This action is F	```	action is nor	n-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
· <u>_</u>	is/are pending in the application	n		•				
	Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
'= \' 								
	Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restriction and/or	r election rea	uirement					
	are subject to restriction and/or	i election req	un ement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)			_					
1) Notice of References Cit	ed (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 15-04; 5-12-04.	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,859

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 17-26 are presented for examination.

Applicants' drawings filed November 13, 2003; and information disclosure statements filed March 15, 2004 and May 12, 2004 have been received and entered.

Applicants' election filed January 13, 2006 in response to the restriction requirement filed December 21, 2005 has been received and entered. The applicants elected claims 17-26 (Group IV) without traverse and cancelled claims 1-16 and 27-36.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17-26 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/639,719. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present

Art Unit: 1614

application teaches a method for reducing systemic inflammation comprising administering to a mammal, an effective amount of substituted dialkyl ethers of formula I; and the copending application teaches a method of treating a disease or disorder selected from arthritis, cartilage damage, joint pain, joint inflammation, systemic lupus erythematous, mixed connective tissue disease, and sepsis in a mammal comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a substituted dialkyl ether of formula I. Clearly, the presented application's method for reducing systemic inflammation with the active agents encompasses the method for treating joint inflammation with the same active agents.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 17-26 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the

Art Unit: 1614

obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisgaier et al. (5,648,387) of PTO-1449.

Bisgaier et al. use the same substituted dialkyl ethers (carboxylalkylethers) derivatives of formula I to treat pain caused by non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) such as neuropathy (see column 15, lines 29-33).

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the substituted dialkyl ethers are used to reduce systemic inflammation. However, since the cited reference does teach the instant substituted dialkyl ethers are used to treat diabetic complications such as neuropathy which involves pain, shooting pain or burning sensation, and inflammation is usually accompanied with pain. Then the use of the instant substituted dialkyl ethers to treat pain would also reduce inflammation in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 17-26 are not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax

Art Unit: 1614

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington April 28, 2006